

Application No. 10/617,914
Amendment Dated: May 3, 2005,
Reply to Office Action of: February 16, 2005

REMARKS

By the above amendment, Claims 1, 2 and 4-17 are pending. Claim 3 is withdrawn. The undersigned would like to thank Examiner Ramirez for taking the time to discuss the pending Office Action on April 13, 2005.


On Page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserts Claims 1 is indefinite under §112. Although the phrase "the front wall" was previously deleted from the body of Claim 1, the Examiner notes that the phrase is in the preamble. The preamble is amended to resolve this concern. The objection to Claim 10 is also believed resolved. As discussed with the Examiner, Claim 14 is amended to add the phrases containing the word "adapted," which is understood to resolve the Examiner's concern and broaden the scope of the claim.

On Page 3, the Examiner asserts that Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 11 are anticipated under § 102. As discussed with the Examiner, the top of page 4 should state that Claims 3, 4, 7, 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection. This refusal is believed to be resolved as Claim 1 is amended to include the limitation of Claim 3.

For the above-noted reasons, Claims 1, 2, 10, 12 and 14, as amended, and Claims 4-9, 11, 13 and 15-17 are believed in condition for allowance. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned if this will assist in advancing this application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By: 

Jeffrey S. Sokol
Reg. No. 25,686

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, St. 1100
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-7590
Attorney Docket No. 4741-00008